1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	LINUTED OF AT	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT TACOMA	
10	JORDAN DAVID KNIPPLING,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C14-5961 BHS-JRC
12	v.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13	SCOTT FRAKES,	NOTED FOR: FEBRUARY 13, 2015
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	The District Court has referred this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 civil rights action to United States	
17	Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and (B), and local	
18	Magistrate Judge Rules MJR1, MJR3 and MJR4.	
19	Currently before the Court is plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt. 1). On	
20	December 9, 2015, the Clerk's office sent plaintiff a letter outlining defects in his application	
21	(Dkt. 2). Plaintiff had until January 8, 2015 to provide the Court with the needed information.	
22	Plaintiff failed to provide the Court with a copy of his inmate trust account. 28 U.S.C. §	
23	1915(a)(2) mandates that a prisoner seeking to proceed in forma pauperis submit a certified copy	
24	of his institutional trust fund statement for the last six months.	

1 The district court may permit indigent litigants to proceed in forma pauperis upon completion of a proper affidavit of indigence. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). However, the court has broad discretion in denying an application to proceed in forma pauperis. Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th Cir. 1963), cert. denied, 375 U.S. 845 (1963). Here, plaintiff has failed to provide information that the Court is mandated by statute to obtain. Thus, plaintiff has not submitted a proper application. The Court recommends denial of his application to proceed in forma pauperis. Further, the Court recommends that plaintiff have thirty days to pay the full four hundred dollar filing fee. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties shall have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P. 6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of de novo review by the district judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 63(b)(1)(C). Accommodating the time limit imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on February 13, 2015, as noted in the caption. Dated this 21st day of January, 2015. J. Richard Creatura United States Magistrate Judge

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24